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ABSTRACT

This study aims to investigate the relationship between ISO 14001 certification and financial performance and to ascertain whether the ISO 14001 accreditation has improved the financial performance of a sample of Malaysian publicly listed companies. ISO 14001, which is the most recognized framework for establishing environmental management, helps companies to account for the influence of their activities on the environment and to demonstrate sound environmental management. The linear regression analysis shows that firms with above-average performance have a greater tendency to pursue ISO 14001 accreditation. A significant relationship is also established between ISO 14001 certification and financial performance in the context of an emerging economy. The adoption of ISO 14001 benefits companies by enhancing their reputation and brand awareness on the one hand and increasing their sales and investor confidence on the other.
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INTRODUCTION

The global profile of environmental issues has increased significantly over the past two decades partly because of major incidents, such as the Bhopal chemical leak in 1984 and the Exxon Valdez oil spill in 1989 (Shane, 2010).
These environmental disasters have led to many arguments stating that companies must move their businesses toward a more sustainable direction.

After sustainability and green environmental issues have entered the accounting practice in the past few decades, “Environmental Management Accounting” or EMA (also known as green accounting) has emerged as a new trend of accounting. EMA refers to the provision and analysis of both financial and non-financial information to support internal environmental management processes (Shane, 2004). However, the terminology associated with EMA still lacks consensus (Burritt, 2001). Although many studies have investigated EMA as a new accounting practice (Burritt and Saka, 2001; Bennett and James, 1998A; Envirowise, 2003; UNDSD, 2003), the benefits of EMA on the financial performance of companies remain questionable.

Introduced in September 1996, ISO 14001 is an international standard for EMA that addresses diverse aspects of environmental management and helps companies identify, control, and improve their environmental performance. ISO 14001 has been adopted as a national standard by more than 100 countries around the world. Although a certification of conformity to the standard is not required by this standard, at least 154,572 certificates have been issued in 148 countries and economies by 2007. These figures show the increased commitment and awareness of global corporations and countries toward better environmental management.

As a rapidly developing Asian country, Malaysia faces many tensions and doubts in environmental and corporate sustainability issues (Sumiani, Haslinda, and Lehman, 2007). The rapid modernization and urbanization in Malaysia have also increased the concerns on environmental issues. Moreover, the large-scale deforestation, mining, land development, construction, and other industrial developments over the past years have significantly increased such concerns. The compliance of factories and organizations with the environmental protection law has always been questioned by Malaysians and NGOs, such as the case of Lynas. Previous research shows that companies in environmentally sensitive industries tend to disclose their environmental information through corporate annual reports (Raar, 2002). Sumiani, Haslinda, and Lehman (2007) found that the engagement of Malaysian companies in strategic environmental movements had substantially improved. However, the culture of reporting environmental
considerations in Malaysia is still in its infancy in terms of its contents and descriptive analysis compared with that in developed countries. In addition, recent statistics show that only 1,934 companies in Malaysia have adopted and registered for ISO 14001 certification (Low et al., 2015).

Given that ISO 14001 is the most popular environmental management system, this study fills the research gap by investigating the effect of this standard on the corporate performance of Malaysian companies. The findings will help Malaysian publicly listed companies decide on whether to adopt ISO 14001. Given the positive relationship between ISO 14001 adoption and financial performance, the listed companies in Malaysia will be motivated to adopt such a standard. The findings may also guide Malaysian policy makers in mandating the necessary regulations for improving the environmental considerations of companies.

The rest of this paper reviews the previous literature on the relationship between EMA/ISO 14001 and firm performance and subsequently proposes several hypotheses. Section 3 develops a framework according to the defined hypotheses and discusses the data collection procedures and methodology. Section 4 presents the analysis results, and Section 5 discusses the findings. Section 6 presents the conclusion, limitations, and future research opportunities.

RELATED RESEARCH AND HYPOTHESIS

Environmental commitment has attracted much attention in the current competitive scenarios. However, the effects of environmental practices on the financial performance of companies remain unclear. Some researchers, such as Stanwick and Stanwick (1998), argue that environmental activities do not contribute to financial performance, whereas King and Lenox (2001) find that the implementation of environmental practices positively improves financial performance. Given that energy efficiency and costs are highly related to operating costs, the financial performance of corporations is directly affected by their environmental pursuits (Cusack, 2008). However, McWilliams and Siegel (2001) identified a neutral relationship between social and financial performance. Therefore, despite more than three decades of theoretical and empirical research, the nature of the relationship between

EMA is defined as the process of identifying, collecting, estimating, analyzing, internally reporting, and using cost information on materials, energy, and environmental costs within the decision process to generate convenient decisions that contribute to environmental protection (Vasile and Man, 2012).

According to the Malaysian Ministry of the Environment, EMA aims to achieve sustainable development, maintain a favorable relationship within the community, and pursue effective and efficient environmental conservation activities. The number of companies in Malaysia that engage in a rudimentary form of social and environmental reporting has increased over the years (Environmental Resources Management Malaysia, 2002; ACCA, 2004).

However, the considerations on environmental issues must be defined and mandated by a globally recognized organization. The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) is the largest developer of voluntary international standards that give state-of-the-art specifications for products, services, and favorable practices and help industries become more efficient and effective. The ISO 14001 family addresses various aspects of environmental management and provides practical tools for companies and organizations that intend to identify and control their environmental influence and constantly improve their environmental performance. ISO 14001:2004 and ISO 14004:2004 focus on environmental management systems.

The adoption of EMA signifies corporate sustainability practices. Statistically speaking, about 220,000 companies in the world have incorporated ISO 14001 guidelines in their practices as a sign of environmental management system adoption (ISO, 2013). Many researchers, such as Balzarova and Castka (2008), Melnyk, Sroufe, and Calantone (2003), and Zutshi and Sohal (2004), regard ISO 14001 certification as a useful tool for an organization to implement an environmental strategy. In addition, many studies, such as Poksinska, Eklund, and Da Hlgaard (2003), Zutshi and Sohal (2004), and Gavronski, Ferrer, and Paiva (2008), have solely analyzed ISO 14001
accreditation as an evidence of observing EMA practices. However, these studies were conducted over a small scale or were rated according to the personal judgment of managers about performance improvement. Given that these managers place much effort on the implementation of environmental management systems within their organizations, their judgements are not free from personal bias. Wayhan and Balderson (2007), Nowrocka and Parker (2009), and Heras–Saizarbitoria, Molina–Azorin, and Dick (2011) all identified such biases in the EMA reports of managers. As an important variable of EMA, ISO 14001 has been used by many researchers (Canon and Garces, 2006; Link and Naveh, 2006; Paulraj and Jong, 2011).

The relationship between the corporate social responsibility, especially in the environmental aspect, and financial performance of firms has been widely debated (Margolis et al., 1997; McWilliams and Siegel, 2000; Walsh, Weber, and Margolis, 2003; Margolis and Walsh, 2003; Orlitzky, Schmidt, and Rynes, 2003; Barnett and Salomon, 2006; Brammer and Millington, 2008; Hull and Rothenberg, 2008; Peloza, 2009; Godfrey, Merrill, and Hansen, 2009). For instance, Heras–Saizarbitoria, Molina–Azorin, and Dick (2011) contended that firms should trade-off between their environmental responsibility and financial performance. The failure to meet the expectations of stakeholders ultimately leads to a lower shareholder confidence, which in turn results in a high-risk premium. Therefore, companies inevitably incur higher costs of capital and lose profit opportunities.

However, other studies have contended that improvements in environmental management will lead to a reduction in profitability. Studies that have proposed a negative relationship between environmental and financial performance argue that when firms attempt to enhance their environmental performance, their resources and management efforts are drawn away from their core business areas, thus resulting in lower profits. Therefore, managers cannot accomplish both of their environmental and core business activities simultaneously (Klassen and McLaughlin, 1996; Hull and Rothenberg, 2008). Generally, a favorable environmental performance is achieved at the expense of a favorable financial performance because both these elements utilize the resources of companies in ways that confer significant managerial benefits instead of devoting such resources to alternative investment projects.
Other studies, such as Ann, Zailani, and Wahid (2006), Cañón and Garcés (2006), Gavronski et al. (2008), Link and Naveh (2006), Padma et al. (2008), Wahba (2008), and Tan (2005), have contended that ISO 14001 contributes to the financial performance improvement of companies. Specifically, Gavronski, Ferrer, and Paiva (2008) identified four benefits of ISO 14001 for companies. First, ISO 14001 improves productivity by reducing resource usage, optimizing process flows, reducing production costs, and motivating employees. Second, ISO 14001 benefits financial performance by providing opportunities to obtain investment funds from governmental organizations, access special credit with reduced interest rates, and reduce insurance premiums. Third, ISO 14001 benefits the market by providing competitive advantages and promoting favorable relationships with customers, both of which can create favorable market conditions for companies and subsequently enhance their financial and non-financial performance. Fourth, ISO 14001 improves the image of companies to the society, reduces their environmental liability, and strengthens their cooperation with environmental authorities. Based on the above discussion, we propose the following hypotheses:

**H1**: The adoption of ISO 14001 has a significant positive relationship with the return on asset (ROA) of companies.

**H2**: The adoption of ISO 14001 has a significant positive relationship with the return on equity (ROE) of companies.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Figure 1 illustrates the conceptual framework, in which ISO 14001 accreditation is the proxy for EMA, and ROA and ROE are the financial performance variables of the firm.

![Figure 1: Conceptual Framework](image-url)
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study tests the relationship between financial performance and ISO accreditation. Previous research, such as Gourlay and Pentecost (2002) and Hudson and Orviska (2013), has argued that company ownership has a vital role in ISO 14001 accreditation. Therefore, ownership status is used as a controlled variable in this study. According to Nishitani (2009) and Welch, Mazur, and Bretschneider (2000), firm size is a noteworthy variable that researchers may consider, as larger firms are highly pressured by their stakeholders to pursue environmental awareness issues. Therefore, firm size is also included as a controlled variable.

FINDINGS

Descriptive Analysis
A total of 68 companies were selected and the company data were obtained from the website of Bursa Malaysia, the listing board of the country.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of Company</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ownership of Company</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locally Owned</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>73.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Venture</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Owned</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 shows the characteristics of the surveyed companies. Most companies are small (42.6%), followed by large- (36.8%) and medium-sized companies (20.6%). In terms of ownership type, more than 81% of the companies are locally owned, 13.2% are joint ventures, and only 5.3% are foreign owned. Table 2 shows that out of these companies, more than 55% are not ISO 14001 accredited, and 44% are ISO 14001 accredited. The majority of the ISO 14001 accredited companies are large (56.7%) and locally owned (63%), while the majority of the non-ISO accredited companies are small (63.2%) and locally owned (81.6%). Therefore, large-sized companies with more resources tend to apply for ISO 14001 accreditation. Malaysian companies are also advanced in environmental management implementation through the ISO 14001 accreditation. The high environmental awareness of Malaysian companies serves as a favorable sign of environmental management activities in Malaysia. Table 3 shows the descriptive statistics for all variables.
Table 3: Descriptive Statistics for the Independent and Dependent Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>−17.77</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>5.826</td>
<td>4.950</td>
<td>−0.165</td>
<td>7.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>−25.42</td>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>8.930</td>
<td>8.365</td>
<td>−0.168</td>
<td>11.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 14001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>1.7726</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.8915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normality Test

Table 4 shows that both the Shapiro–Wilk’s significance numbers for ROA and ROE are greater than 0.05 (0.093 for ROA and 0.083 for ROE), thus indicating the normal distribution of data.

Table 4: Normality Test on ROA and ROE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kolmogorov–Smirnov</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shapiro–Wilk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lilliefors significance correction.

** p < 0.05 indicates that the data are normally distributed.

Correlation Analysis

The Pearson Correlation analysis results in Table 5 show no cross correlation problem among the variables.
Table 5: Pearson Correlation Analysis Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ROA</th>
<th>ROE</th>
<th>ISO14001</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.716**</td>
<td>–0.052</td>
<td>–0.083</td>
<td>–0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–0.217**</td>
<td>–0.100</td>
<td>–0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO14001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–0.115</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.186*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).
*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).

Linear Regression Test

The linear regression test assesses the associations between the dependent and the independent variables. This test was employed in this study to test both hypotheses about the relationship between the dependent (ROA and ROE) and the independent variables (ISO, Ownership, and Firm Size).

Table 6: Model Summary of Linear Regression Test on ROA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.359a</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>7.37142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent variable: ROA.
** A positive sign indicate that the relationship is positive.
*** p < 0.05 indicates that the data are significant

Table 6 shows that the adjusted R square is 0.088, which indicates that 8.8% of the variance in the dependent variable (ROA) is explained by the independent variables, including firm size, ownership, and ISO accreditation.
Table 7: Coefficients Table on ROA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>−0.631</td>
<td>2.799</td>
<td>−0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>3.971</td>
<td>1.261</td>
<td>2.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>1.261</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>2.427</td>
<td>1.595</td>
<td>1.521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent variable: ROA.
** A positive sign indicates that the relationship is positive.
*** p < 0.05 indicates that the data are significant.

Table 7 shows that the t-value of ISO is 2.175, which means that a 1% change in ISO leads to a 217.5% change in ROA. Therefore, ISO adoption has a relatively significant influence on financial performance in terms of ROA. Moreover, 217.5% is a positive figure, which indicates that the ISO 14001 adoption positively influences financial performance.

Table 8: Model Summary of the Linear Regression Test on ROE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.384*</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>10.91833</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), size, ownership, ISO.

The adjusted R-square for ROE is 0.108, which indicates that 10.8% of the variance in the independent variable (ROE) is explained by the dependent variables, including firm size, ownership, and ISO accreditation.

Table 9: Coefficients Table on ROE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>−1.136</td>
<td>4.146</td>
<td>−0.274</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>5.880</td>
<td>2.704</td>
<td>2.175**</td>
<td>0.033***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>1.940</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>4.980</td>
<td>2.363</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>2.108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent variable: ROE.
** A positive sign indicates that the relationship is positive.
*** p < 0.05 indicates that the data are significant.
Table 9 shows that the t-value of ISO is 2.175, which indicates that a 1% change in ISO leads to a 217.5% change in ROE. Furthermore, 217.5% is a positive figure, which indicates the positive influence of ISO on ROE.

In sum, the linear regression model supports both hypotheses. ISO 14001 accreditation has a significant relationship with the financial performance of companies, specifically with ROA and ROE. Both of these relationships are in a positive direction, which indicates that a higher degree of ISO 14001 adoption leads to the higher financial performance (ROA and ROE) of companies.

**Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)**

ANOVA was used to test the significant relationships among ROA, ROE, and ISO 14001 accreditation (Tables 10(a) and (b)).

**Table 10(a): ANOVA Table on ROA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>515.962</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>171.987</td>
<td>3.165</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>3477.620</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>54.338</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3993.582</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- a. Dependent variable: ROA.
- b. Predictors: (Constant), size, ownership, ISO.

**Table 10(b): ANOVA Table on ROE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>1322.376</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>440.792</td>
<td>3.698</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>7629.431</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>119.210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8951.807</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- a. Dependent variable: ROE.
- b. Predictors: (Constant), size, ownership, ISO.

Both tables show that the ANOVA values for ROA and ROE are 0.030 and 0.016, respectively, which are both significant. Any significant value below 0.05 indicates a significant relationship among the study variables. Consequently, both hypotheses are supported.
H1: ISO 14001 adoption has a significant positive relationship with the ROE of companies. 
H1 is supported because the ANOVA significance value in Table 10 (a) is 0.03, which is lower than 0.05.
H2: ISO 14001 adoption has a significant positive relationship with the ROE of companies.
H2 is supported because the ANOVA significance value in Table 10 (b) is 0.016, which is lower than 0.05.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

The rationale behind these findings is that ISO 14001 strengthens a few aspects of companies that may directly or/and indirectly affect their financial performance. For instance, the increasing environmental awareness of the public has brought their attention toward the corporate social responsibility of companies. Therefore, some consumers will prefer to transact with green or environmentally aware companies.

Many investors believe that companies that pay attention to the environment are more sustainable. Therefore, investors will choose to invest in highly green companies in the long run. An indication of a green company is its adoption of ISO 14001. Aside from serving as a mere standard, ISO 14001 also contributes to the success of companies by helping them establish a system that can protect the environment and reduce their costs in the long run. For example, a highly systematic method for waste disposal not only preserves the earth but also reduces the cost of raw materials through recycling.

In sum, ISO 14001 adoption benefits companies by helping them create a favorable public image, build their reputation, and establish a better system that can reduce their costs and outperform those ISO-uncertified companies. Therefore ISO-certified companies have a better financial performance. Therefore, in accordance with the findings of Margolis and Walsh (2003), McWilliams and Siegel (2000), Walsh, Weber, and Margolis (2003), Peloza (2009), Godfrey, Merrill, and Hansen (2009), and Hull and Rothenberg (2008), both of our hypotheses are supported.
Nevertheless, some points remain debatable. For instance, the ISO 14001 adoption process is costly and time consuming. Therefore, the majority of companies who apply for ISO 14001 are well-established and well-performing ones with a steady growth condition. Similarly, ISO 14001 helps companies perform better financially, and thus a favorable financial performance can motivate companies to adopt this standard.

**CONCLUSION**

The awareness about environmental management issues has increased over the past decade. Investors and other stakeholders are more confident about the planning processes of businesses with environmental considerations. Therefore, this study investigates how ISO 14001 certification, as an index of EMA evidence, influences the financial performance of publicly listed companies in Malaysia. The sample includes 68 publicly listed companies. Firm size (i.e., large, medium, and small) and ownership status (i.e., locally owned, joint venture, and foreign owned) are used as controlled variables.

The financial performance of Malaysian publicly listed companies has been improved in terms of ROA and ROE after their ISO 14001 adoption. These results are consistent with some studies on the positive effect of adopting environmental issues on the financial performance of firms (i.e., Barnett and Salomon, 2006; Brammer and Millington, 2008; Hull and Rothenberg, 2008; Peloa, 2009; Godfrey, Merrill, and Hansen, 2009). This significant relationship for Malaysia, as a developing country, indicates that Malaysian investors prefer to invest in companies with better environmental management. In addition, EMA adoption benefits companies by reducing their costs, improving their performance, and increasing their brand awareness and publicity. Therefore, companies in Malaysia are encouraged to adopt EMA standards, such as ISO 14001, if they want to have better ROA and ROE. Bursa Malaysia standard setters are advised to provide additional mandating rules for publicly listed companies to adopt EMA practices, so that the advantages of the adoption are not enjoyed by companies exclusively but also by the whole country.

Similar to others, this study has inherent limitations. First, given the limited resources, the sample was limited to listed companies although some private
limited companies (Sdn. Bhd.) could also adopt ISO 14001. Moreover, the data were collected from only 100 companies, and only 68 companies were included in the sample because of time constraints.

Second, this study employed secondary data, thus prohibiting the inclusion of some significant qualitative criteria. For instance, qualitative factors, such as industry culture, organizational culture, awareness of managers, and rules and regulations of the government, must be considered in the adoption of ISO 14001.

Third, this study used ISO 14001 as an indicator of environmental performance even though other alternative indicators for environmental performance could be used, such as efforts in developing an eco-friendly system. Moreover, some highly environmental companies chose not to apply for ISO 14001 accreditation. Given that this study was performed in the Malaysian context, the findings might not be applicable to other countries or regions.

In accordance with these limitations, future studies should include both listed and non-listed companies in their sample and focus on the effect of ISO 14001 adoption on specific industries. They must also adopt a highly qualitative data collection procedure, such as distributing questionnaires to managers of corporate social departments. With respect to data analysis, future studies must use structural equation modeling to achieve more detailed results. Given that every country has unique environmental policies and regulations, future studies should be performed in different countries and regions.
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